arXiv:1602.04919v1 [math.RA] 16 Feb 2016

Dimension Quotients of Metabelian Lie Rings

Inder Bir S. Passi and Thomas Sicking

February 17, 2016

Abstract

For a Lie ring L over the ring of integers, we compare its lower central series $\{\gamma_n(L)\}_{n\geq 1}$ and its dimension series $\{\delta_n(L)\}_{n\geq 1}$ defined by setting $\delta_n(L) = L \cap \varpi^n(L)$, where $\varpi(L)$ is the augmentation ideal of the universal enveloping algebra of L. While $\gamma_n(L) \subseteq \delta_n(L)$ for all $n \geq 1$, the two series can differ. In this paper it is proved that if L is a metabelian Lie ring, then $2\delta_n(L) \subseteq \gamma_n(L)$, and $[\delta_n(L),\, L] = \gamma_{n+1}(L)$, for all $n \geq 1$.

Keywords: Lie rings, Lie dimension subrings, central series, universal enveloping algebra.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 16S30, 17B35.

1 Introduction

For a group G and its group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ with augmentation ideal $\varpi(G) = \mathbb{Z}[G](G-1)$, one can define the following two series of subgroups of G. Firstly, we have the lower central series $\{\gamma_n(G)\}_{n\geq 1}$, defined by setting $\gamma_1(G) = G$ and $\gamma_{n+1}(G) = [G,\, \gamma_n(G)]$ for $n \geq 1$; secondly, we have the so called dimension series $\{\delta_n(G)\}_{n\geq 1}$ with $\delta_n(G) = G \cap (1+\varpi^n(G))$. It is easy to see that $\gamma_n(G) \subseteq \delta_n(G)$ for all $n \geq 1$, but the converse inclusion is not true in general, as first shown by E. Rips [8]. Subsequently, it has been proved by J. A. Sjogren [10] (see also [6]) that, for every group G, the quotient group $\delta_n(G)/\gamma_n(G)$ has exponent dividing c_n, where

$$c_n = \prod_{k=1}^{n-2} b_k^{\binom{n-2}{k}}$$

with $b_k = \mathrm{lcm}(1, \ldots, k)$. For metabelian groups, N. Gupta [3] (see also [4]) has given such constants which are sharper than the ones obtained by Sjogren.

For Lie rings over the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ of integers, an analogous study has been initiated in [1]. Let L be a Lie ring over $\mathbb{Z}$ and let $\mathcal{U}(L)$ be its universal enveloping algebra. In L we again have the lower central series $\{\gamma_n(L)\}_{n\geq 1}$ given by setting $\gamma_1(L) = L$ and $\gamma_{n+1}(L) = [L,\, \gamma_n(L)]$ for $n \geq 1$, and the Lie dimension series $\{\delta_n(L)\}_{n\geq 1}$ in L can be defined as follows. Let $\varpi(L)$ be the augmentation ideal of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(L)$ of L. Since L embeds into $\mathcal{U}(L)$ (see, e.g., [2]), $\varpi(L)$ is the two-sided ideal in $\mathcal{U}(L)$ generated by L. Thus we can define the series $\{\delta_n(L)\}_{n\geq 1}$ of Lie subrings of L by setting $\delta_n(L) := L \cap \varpi^n(L)$, $n \geq 1$. It is easy to see that $\gamma_n(L) \subseteq \delta_n(L)$ for all $n \geq 1$. It is shown in [1]

that $\delta_n(L) = \gamma_n(L)$ for $n \leq 3$ for every Lie ring L, and a counterexample to the equality is given for the case $n = 4$. It is further shown in [1] that $2\delta_4(L) \subseteq \gamma_4(L)$ always. In view of the fact that in Lie algebras over the field of rationals the lower central and the dimension series coincide [7], all quotients $\delta_n(L)/\gamma_n(L)$ are torsion abelian groups. It is shown in [9] that an analogue of Sjogren's result holds as well for Lie rings, i.e. $c_n\delta_n(L) \subseteq \gamma_n(L)$ for every Lie ring L.

Our main result in this paper (Theorem 2.1) is that for every metabelian Lie ring L, $2\delta_n(L) \subseteq \gamma_n(L)$ for all $n \geq 1$. We further show that, as in the case $n = 4$ given in [1], there exist metabelian Lie rings $L(n)$ for all $n \geq 5$ with nontrivial $\delta_n(L(n))/\gamma_n(L(n))$.

2 Dimension quotients of metabelian Lie rings

If F is a free Lie ring with basis X, then its universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(F)$ is isomorphic to the free associative algebra over $\mathbb{Z}$ generated by X, and the augmentation ideal $\varpi(F)$ consists of all polynomials whose constant term is zero. It is shown in [1], that $\gamma_n(F) = \delta_n(F)$ for all $n \geq 1$.

For notational convenience, for a Lie ring L, we denote by L' its derived subring $[L, L]$; thus, in particular, L'' denotes the second derived subring of L.

Theorem 2.1. *If L is a metabelian Lie ring, then $2\delta_n(L) \subseteq \gamma_n(L)$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

To prove this theorem, it clearly suffices to consider only finitely generated Lie rings.

Let L be a finitely generated metabelian Lie ring and let $L \cong F/R$ be a pre-abelian presentation of L with F a free Lie ring having basis $X = \{X_1, \ldots, X_m\}$ and $R \supseteq F''$ an ideal of F generated by $e_1X_1 + \xi_1, \ldots, e_mX_m + \xi_m, \xi_{m+1}, \ldots$ such that $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ are nonnegative integers with $e_i \mid e_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, m-1\}$, and $\xi_i \in F'$, the derived subring of F. It is easy to see that every finitely generated Lie ring has such a pre-abelian presentation. Denote by $\mathfrak{r}$ the ideal of $\mathcal{U}(F)$ generated by R. We then have $\mathcal{U}(L) \cong \mathcal{U}(F)/\mathfrak{r}$. Furthermore, we have $\gamma_n(L) \cong (\gamma_n(F)+R)/R$ and $\delta_n(L) \cong (F \cap (\varpi(F)^n + \mathfrak{r}))/R$ for all $n \geq 1$. Observe that

$$\mathfrak{r} = \varpi(F)\mathfrak{r} + R; \tag{1}$$

thus, for any element $f \in F \cap (\varpi^n + \mathfrak{r})$, there exists an element $f' \in F \cap (\varpi^n + \varpi\mathfrak{r})$, such that $f' \equiv f \mod R$.

Set $S = F' + R$, and denote by $\mathfrak{s}$ the two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{U}(F)$ generated by S. We begin by identifying the Lie ideals

$$M := F \cap \varpi(F)\mathfrak{s} \quad \text{and} \quad F \cap (\varpi(F)^n + \varpi(F)\mathfrak{s}).$$

Lemma 2.2. *With the notation as above, we have*

(i) $M = \langle e_i[X_i, X_j] \,|\, m \geq i > j \geq 1\rangle + [F', S]$,

(ii) $[M, F] \subseteq [F', S]$,

(*iii*) $F \cap (\varpi(F)^n + \varpi(F)\mathfrak{s}) = \gamma_n(F) + M$ *for all* $n \geq 1$.

Proof. (*i*) It is clear that $[F', S]$ is contained in $F \cap \varpi(F)\mathfrak{s}$. Also, for $i > j$ we have $e_j \mid e_i$, and thus $e_i[X_i, X_j] = X_i e_i X_j - X_j e_i X_i \in F \cap \varpi(F)\mathfrak{s}$.

To check the reverse inclusion, note first that F acts on itself via the adjoint action:

$$x^y := ad_y(x) = [x, y] \text{ for } x, y \in F.$$

This action extends to an action of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(F)$ on F, and for an associative monomial $w = X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_d} \in \mathcal{U}(F)$ and $x \in F$, we have

$$x^w = [x, X_{i_1}, \ldots, X_{i_d}],$$

where the Lie commutator is left normed.

Now suppose $v \in F \cap \varpi(F)\mathfrak{s}$. Then $v \in F \cap \varpi(F)^2 = F'$. Therefore,

$$v \equiv \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m-1} \sum_{m \geq i > j} [X_i, X_j]^{u_{ij}} \mod F'',$$

with $u_{ij} \in \mathcal{U}(F)$ involving only the variables $X_j, \ldots, X_m$. Let

$$v_j := \sum_{m \geq i > j} [X_i, X_j]^{u_{ij}}.$$

For $j = 1, \ldots, m-1$, define endomorphisms θ_j of $\mathcal{U}(F)$ by setting $\theta_j(X_i) = 0$ for $i < j$ and $\theta_j(X_i) = X_i$ for $i \geq j$. Since both $\varpi(F)$ and $\mathfrak{s}$ are invariant under each θ_j, successive application of the endomorphisms $\theta_{m-1}, \ldots, \theta_1$ shows that $v_j \in F \cap \varpi(F)\mathfrak{s}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m-1$. Write

$$u_{ij} = n_{ij} + u'_{ij} \text{ with } n_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z},\ u'_{ij} \in \varpi(F)$$

so that

$$v_j = \sum_{m \geq i > j} n_{ij}[X_i, X_j] + \sum_{m \geq i > j} [X_i, X_j]^{u'_{ij}}. \tag{2}$$

Note that, modulo $\varpi(F)\mathfrak{s}$, the action of $\mathcal{U}(F)$ is just right multiplication. Thus we have, modulo $\varpi(F)\mathfrak{s}$,

$$0 \equiv v_j \equiv \sum_{m \geq i > j} n_{ij}(X_i X_j - X_j X_i) + \sum_{m \geq i > j} (X_i X_j - X_j X_i) u'_{ij}. \tag{3}$$

The right coefficient of X_i, $(m \geq i > j)$, in this expression is $X_j u_{ij}$ and must be in $\mathfrak{s}$. As u_{ij} only involves the variables $X_j, \ldots, X_m$, it follows that u_{ij} is divisible by e_j, so that n_{ij} is divisible by e_j and

$$u'_{ij} = e_j f_{ij}.$$

Now the right coefficient of X_j is $\sum_{m \geq i > j}(-n_{ij}X_i - X_i u'_{ij})$ and it must also lie in $\mathfrak{s}$. Thus $e_i \mid n_{ij}$ for each $i > j$, as the only terms of degree one in $\mathfrak{s}$ are linear combinations of $e_k X_k$, $1 \leq k \leq m$. Thus it follows that

$$\sum_{m \geq i > j} X_i u'_{ij} \in \mathfrak{s}.$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{a}$ the ideal of $\mathcal{U}(F)$ generated by F'. As $\mathfrak{a}$ acts trivially on $[X_i, X_j]$ modulo F'', we can change u'_{ij} modulo $\mathfrak{a}$, and thus assume, without loss of generality, that u'_{ij} and hence f_{ij} is a linear combination of ordered monomials, i.e., monomials of the form $X_{k_1} \cdots X_{k_t}$ with $j \leq k_1 \leq \ldots \leq k_t \leq m$. Thus we can write

$$f_{ij} = \sum_{k=j}^{m} X_k g_{ijk},$$

with g_{ijk} involving only the variables $X_k, \ldots, X_m$. Thus we have

$$\sum_{m \geq i > j} X_i u'_{ij} = \sum_{m \geq i > j} \sum_{k=j}^{m} e_j X_i X_k g_{ijk} \in \mathfrak{s}.$$

As $e_j X_i X_j g_{ijj} \in \mathfrak{s}$, we also have $\sum_{m \geq i > j} \sum_{k=j+1}^{m} e_j X_i X_k g_{ijk} \in \mathfrak{s}$. Successively applying $\theta_{j+1}, \ldots, \theta_{i-1}$ it follows inductively that e_k divides $\sum_{m \geq i > j} e_j X_i X_k g_{ijk}$ for each k with $j \leq k < i$. Thus we can write

$$\sum_{m \geq i > j} X_i u'_{ij} = \sum_{m \geq i > j} \left(\sum_{k=j}^{i-1} e_k X_i X_k g'_{ijk} + e_i h_{ij} \right),$$

where $h_{ij} \in \varpi(F)$ involves only the variables $X_i, \ldots, X_m$. Consequently,

$$\sum_{m \geq i > j} [X_i, X_j]^{u'_{ij}} = \sum_{m \geq i > j} \sum_{k=j}^{i-1} e_k [X_i, X_j, X_k]^{g'_{ijk}} + \sum_{m \geq i > j} e_i [X_i, X_j]^{h_{ij}}.$$

Now $e_k[X_i, X_j, X_k] = [X_i, X_j, e_k X_k] \in [F', S]$. For $k \geq i$, using Jacobi identity, we have $e_i[X_i, X_j, X_k] \in [F', S]$. Since $h_{ij} \in \varpi(F)$ is a polynomial only in the variables X_k with $k \geq i$, it follows that $e_i[X_i, X_j]^{h_{ij}} \in [F', S]$. Since F'' is contained in $[F', S]$, the proof of (i) is complete.

(*ii*) follows immediately from (i) in view of the Jacobi identity.

(*iii*) Clearly, $\gamma_n(F) + M \subseteq F \cap (\varpi^n(F) + \varpi(F)\mathfrak{s})$ for all $n \geq 1$. For $n = 1, 2$, the reverse inclusion holds trivially. Suppose $n \geq 3$ and $v \in F \cap (\varpi(F)^n + \varpi(F)\mathfrak{s})$. Then, proceeding as in case (i) above, we have

$$v \equiv \sum_{m \geq i > j \geq 1} [X_i, X_j]^{u_{ij}} = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} v_j \mod (F'' + \gamma_n(F)).$$

and, modulo $\varpi^n(F) + \varpi(F)\mathfrak{s}$,

$$0 \equiv v_j \equiv \sum_{m \geq i > j} n_{ij}(X_i X_j - X_j X_i) + \sum_{m \geq i > j} (X_i X_j - X_j X_i) u'_{ij}. \qquad (4)$$

Therefore, the right coefficient of X_j must be in $\varpi^{n-1}(F) + \mathfrak{s}$. Hence n_{ij} must be divisible by e_i and, modulo $\varpi^{n-2}(F)$, u'_{ij} must be divisible by e_j. Writing $u'_{ij} = e_j f_{ij} + p_{ij}$ with $p_{ij} \in \varpi^{n-2}(F)$ and decomposing f_{ij} as in the above proof

of (i), we have

$$\sum_{m\geq i>j}[X_i,X_j]^{u'_{ij}} = \sum_{m\geq i>j}\sum_{k=j}^{i-1} e_k[X_i,X_j,X_k]^{g'_{ijk}} + \sum_{m\geq i>j} e_i[X_i,X_j]^{h_{ij}} + \sum_{m\geq i>j}[X_i,\,X_j]^{p_{ij}} \in M+\gamma_n(F).$$

Hence $v\in\gamma_n(F)+M$. □

We immediately have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. *If L is a metabelian Lie ring, then $[\delta_n(L),\,L]=\gamma_{n+1}(L)$ for all $n\geq 1$.*

Proof. Since $\gamma_n(L)\subseteq\delta_n(L)$, we clearly have that $\gamma_{n+1}(L)\subseteq[\delta_n(L),\,L]$ for all $n\geq 1$.

For the reverse inclusion, let $L=F/R$ be a free presentation of a metabelian Lie ring L and let $S=R+F'$. Then $[F',\,S]\subseteq R$, and, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$[F\cap(\varpi^n(F)+\varpi(F)\mathfrak{r}),\,F]\subseteq[F\cap(\varpi^n(F)+\varpi(F)\mathfrak{s}),\,F]\subseteq\gamma_{n+1}(F)+R.$$

Hence it follows that $[F\cap(\varpi^n(F)+\mathfrak{r}),\,F]\subseteq\gamma_{n+1}(F)+R$, and the Corrolary is proved.

□

2.1 Proof of the Theorem 2.1

Let L be a finitely generated Lie ring and $L=F/R$ a pre-abelian presentation of L as set out at the beginning of Section 2. Suppose $v\in F\cap(\varpi^n(F)+\mathfrak{r})$. Then there exists $r\in R$ such that $w:=v+r\in F\cap(\varpi^n(F)+\varpi(F)\mathfrak{r})\subseteq F\cap(\varpi(F)^n+\varpi(F)\mathfrak{s})$. By Lemma 2.2, we then have

$$w\equiv\sum_j\sum_{m\geq i>j} d_{ij}[X_i,\,X_j]+\sum_k e_k[X_k,\,\eta_k] \mod(\gamma_n(F)+F'') \qquad (5)$$

with $d_{ij}\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $e_i\mid d_{ij}$, and $\eta_k\in F'$. In $\mathcal{U}(F)$ this congruence yields

$$w\equiv\sum_j\sum_{m\geq i>j} d_{ij}(X_iX_j-X_jX_i)+\sum_k e_kX_k\eta_k \mod\varpi^2(F)\mathfrak{s}+\varpi^n(F).$$

Since $w\in\varpi(F)^n+\varpi(F)\mathfrak{r}$, it follows that

$$\sum_k X_k(y_k+e_k\eta_k)\equiv 0 \mod(\varpi^2(F)\mathfrak{s}+\varpi^n(F)+\varpi(F)\mathfrak{r}),$$

where

$$y_k=-\sum_{m\geq i>k} d_{ik}X_i+\sum_{1\leq j<k} d_{kj}X_j\in F.$$

Thus, for every k, we have $y_k + e_k\eta_k \in \varpi(F)\mathfrak{s} + \varpi^{n-1}(F) + \mathfrak{r}$, and there exists $r_k \in \mathfrak{r} \cap F = R$ with $y_k + e_k\eta_k + r_k \in \varpi(F)\mathfrak{s} + \varpi^{n-1}(F)$. Since $[F',\, S] \subseteq S' \subseteq R$, by Lemma 2.2, we deduce that

$$[X_k,\, y_k + e_k\eta_k + r_k] \in \gamma_n(F) + [F',\, S] \subseteq \gamma_n(F) + R.$$

As $[X_k,\, r_k] \in R$ and $[X_k,\, e_k\eta_k] = [e_kX_k,\, \eta_k] \in R$, we conclude that

$$[X_k,\, y_k] \in R + \gamma_n(F) \ \text{ for every } k.$$

Finally, the congruence (5) reduces, modulo $\gamma_n(F) + R$, to yield

$$w \equiv \sum_j \sum_{m \geq i > j} d_{ij}[X_i,\, X_j] = \sum_k X_k y_k. \tag{6}$$

Recall that the map given by $u \mapsto -u$ on F induces an anti-automorphism on $\mathcal{U}(F)$. Applying this anti-automorphism to the above equation yields

$$-w \equiv \sum_k y_k X_k. \tag{7}$$

From the congruences (6) and (7), we have

$$2w = \sum_k X_k y_k - y_k X_k = \sum_k [X_k,\, y_k] \in \gamma_n(F) + R,$$

and the proof is complete. □

Finally, following Gupta's construction of counter examples to the dimension conjecture for groups, as given in [5], and the construction of a Lie ring L with $\delta_4(L) \neq \gamma_4(L)$ in [1], we observe that analogously, for each $n \geq 5$, there exists a metabelian Lie ring $L(n)$ with nontrivial $\delta_{2n-4}(L(n))$ and $\gamma_n(L(n)) = 0$.

Example 2.4. *Let $X = \{r, a, b, c\}$ and let F be the free Lie ring generated by X. Set*

$$x_0 = y_0 = z_0 = r,$$

$$x_i = [x_{i-1},\, a],\ y_i = [y_{i-1},\, b],\ z_i = [z_{i-1},\, c] \text{ for } i \geq 1.$$

Let $R(n),\ n \geq 4$, be the Lie ideal of F generated by the following elements:

$2^{2n-1}r$,
$2^{n+2}a - 4y_{n-3} - 2z_{n-3},\ 2^n b + 4x_{n-3} - z_{n-3},\ 2^{n-2}c + 2x_{n-3} + y_{n-3}$,
$z_{n-2} - 4y_{n-2},\ y_{n-2} - 4x_{n-2}$,
$x_{n-1},\ y_{n-1},\ z_{n-1}$,
$[a,\, b,\, u],\ [a,\, c,\, u],\ [b,\, c,\, u]$ *for all* $u \in F$,
$[x_i,\, b],\ [x_i,\, c],\ [y_i,\, a],\ [y_i,\, c],\ [z_i,\, a],\ [z_i,\, b],\ i \geq 1$,
$[x_i,\, x_j],\ [x_i,\, y_j],\ [x_i,\, z_j],\ [y_i,\, y_j],\ [y_i,\, z_j],\ [z_i,\, z_j],\ i,\, j \geq 0$.

Then $L(n) := F/R(n)$ is easily seen to be metabelian with $\gamma_n(L(n)) = 0$. Let

$$g := 2^{2n-1}[a,\, b] + 2^{2n-2}[a,\, c] + 2^{2n-3}[b,\, c].$$

It is straightforward to check that $g \in \varpi^{2n-4}(L)$, i.e., $g \in \delta_{2n-4}(L(n))$, and that

$$g = 2^{n+1}x_{n-2} = 2^{n-3}z_{n-2} = 2^{2n-1}[a, b].$$

That $g \neq 0$ is easily seen by comparing (in F) the element $2^{n-3}z_{n-2} + \gamma_n(F)$ with the expression for an arbitrary element of $(R(n) + \gamma_n(F))/\gamma_n(F)$ in terms of the Witt basis [11] for $F/\gamma_n(F)$ as a free abelian group.

Acknowledgement

The second author would like to thank Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Mohali for the warm hospitality during his stay at the Institute. He is also thankful to Mathematisches Institut der Universität Göttingen for financial support.

References

[1] Laurent Bartholdi and Inder Bir S. Passi: Lie dimension subrings, *Int. J. Algebra Comput.* **25**, 1301–1325

[2] Pierre Cartier: Remarques sur le théorème de Birkhoff-Witt, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3)* **12** (1958), 1–4 (French).

[3] Narain Gupta: Sjogren's theorem for dimension subgrousps - the metabelian case. In: Combinatorial Group Theory and Topology, *Annals of Math. Study.* **111**, 197–211 (1987).

[4] Gupta, Narain: A solution of the dimension subgroup problem. *J. Algebra* **138** (1991), no. 2, 479–490.

[5] Narain Gupta: The dimension subgroup conjecture, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **22**, 453–456 (1990).

[6] G. Cliff and B. Hartley: Sjogren's theorem on dimension subgroups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **47** (1987), 231–242.

[7] Max A. Knus: On the enveloping algebra and the descending central series of a Lie algebra, *J. Algebra* **12** (1969), 335 - 338.

[8] E. Rips: On the fourth integer dimension subgroup, *Israel J. Math.* **12** (1972), 342–346.

[9] Thomas Sicking, Lie Dimension Quotients, *unpublished*.

[10] J. A. Sjogren: Dimension and lower central subgroups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **14** (1979), 175–194.

[11] Ernst Witt: Treue Darstellung Liescher Ringe. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **177** (1937), 152–160.

Inder Bir S. Passi
Centre for Advanced Study in Mathematics
Panjab University, Chandigarh, India
and
Indian Institute of Science Education and Research
Mohali, India
email: ibspassi@yahoo.co.in

Thomas Sicking
Mathematisches Institut
Georg-August-Universität
Göttingen, Germany
email: thomas.sicking@yahoo.de